arXiv:0809.1542v1 [math.AP] 9 Sep 2008

Inverse problem for a parabolic system with two components by measurements of one component

Assia Benabdallah,

Laboratoire d'Analyse Topologie Probabilités/CMI,

U.M.R 6632, Université d'Aix-Marseille, Marseille, France

e-mail: assia@cmi.univ-mrs.fr,

Michel Cristofol,

Laboratoire d'Analyse Topologie Probabilités,

CNRS UMR 6632, Université d'Aix-Marseille, Marseille, France

e-mail:Michel.Cristofol@cmi.univ-mrs.fr,

Patricia Gaitan,

Laboratoire d'Analyse Topologie Probabilités,

CNRS UMR 6632, Université d'Aix-Marseille, Marseille, France

e-mail:Patricia.Gaitan@cmi.univ-mrs.fr,

Masahiro Yamamoto,

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo,

Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153, Japan

e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

September 9, 2008

Abstract

We consider a 2×2 system of parabolic equations with first and zeroth coupling and establish a Carleman estimate by extra data of only one component without data of initial values. Then we apply the Carleman estimate to inverse problems of determining some or all of the coefficients by observations in an arbitrary subdomain over a time interval of only one component and data of two components at a fixed positive time θ over the whole spatial domain. The main results are Lipschitz stability estimates for the inverse problems. For the Lipschitz stability, we have to assume some non-degeneracy condition at θ for the two components and for it, we can approximately control the two components of the 2×2 system by inputs to only one component. Such approximate controllability is proved also by our new Carleman estimate. Finally we establish a Carleman estimate for a 3×3 system for parabolic equations with coupling of zeroth-order terms by one component to show the corresponding approximate controllability with a control to one component.

1 Introduction and notations

This article is devoted to the question of the identification of coefficients for a reaction diffusion convection system of two equations in a bounded domain, with the main particularity that we observe *only one component of the system*. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded connected open set with C^2-boundary $\partial\Omega$, and we set $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $1 \le j \le n$, $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\nabla = (\partial_1, ..., \partial_n)$, $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^2$. For any fixed $T > 0$, we set $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$, $\Sigma_T = \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ and we consider the

following 2×2 reaction-diffusion-convection system :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U = \Delta U + aU + bV + A \cdot \nabla U + B \cdot \nabla V + f & \text{in} \quad \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t V = \Delta V + cU + dV + C \cdot \nabla U + D \cdot \nabla V + g & \text{in} \quad \Omega_T, \\ U = h_1,\ V = h_2 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_T, \\ U(\cdot, 0) = U_0,\ V(\cdot, 0) = V_0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where a, b, c, d are scalar functions and A, B, C, D vectorial fields both defined on Ω. The boundary condition h_i as well as f, g shall be kept fixed. If we change the reaction coefficients b, c into $\widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}$, we let $(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})$ be the solution of (1.1) associated to $\widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}$ and $(\widetilde{U_0}, \widetilde{V_0})$ for the initial condition. Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be a non-empty subdomain and $T > 0$. We assume that we can measure both

$$U|_{\omega \times (0,T)} \text{ and } \quad (U, V)|_{\Omega \times \{\theta\}}.$$

at a time $\theta \in (0, T)$.

We set $\omega_T = \omega \times (0, T)$. For $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, by $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ and $L^p(0, T; X)$ we denote the classical Sobolev space with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}$, and the space of X-valued p-Bochner integrable functions respectively (e.g., [1]). As usual we write $W^{0,p}(\Omega) = L^p(\Omega)$ and $H^m(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ for $m \in \mathbf{N}$. We define a Banach space

$$W_2^{m, \frac{m}{2}}(\Omega_T) = \{u : \Omega \times (0, T) \to \mathbf{R};\ \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^{\alpha_{n+1}} u \in L^2(\Omega_T), \text{ for } |\alpha| + 2\alpha_{n+1} \leq m\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_2^{m, \frac{m}{2}}(\Omega_T)} = \sum_{|\alpha| + 2\alpha_{n+1} \leq m} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^{\alpha_{n+1}} u\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}.$$

Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is a multi-index, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$, and the differentiation is to be understood in the weak sense. Let M be an arbitrary positive constant. We denote by ν

the outward unit normal to Ω and by $\overline{B}_X(0,r)$ the closed ball of a metric space X centered on 0 of radius r.

We pose the following assumptions.

Assumption 1.1 *(a)* $a, b, \widetilde{b}, c, \widetilde{c}, d \in \overline{B}_{L^\infty(\Omega)}(0, M)$,

(b) $A, B, C, D \in \overline{B}_{L^\infty(\Omega)^n}(0, M)$,

(c) $\omega \subset \Omega$ *satisfies* $\partial\omega \cap \partial\Omega = \gamma$ *and* $|\gamma| \neq 0$, *and* ω *is of class* C^2,

(d) $|B(x) \cdot \nu(x)| \neq 0, \qquad x \in \gamma$,

(e) $B \in C^2(\overline{\omega})^n$, $A \in C^1(\overline{\omega})^n$ *and* $b \in C^2(\overline{\omega})$,

(f) $|\widetilde{U}(\cdot,\theta)|$, $|\widetilde{V}(\cdot,\theta)| > \delta_0$ *on* $\overline{\Omega_T}$ *with some constant* $\delta_0 > 0$,

(g) $\|\widetilde{U}\|_{C(\overline{\Omega_T})}$, $\|\widetilde{V}\|_{C(\overline{\Omega_T})} \leq M$,

(h) $\|\widetilde{U}\|_{C^3(\omega_T)}$, $\|\widetilde{V}\|_{C^3(\omega_T)} \leq M$.

If the functions and the coefficients appearing in (1.1) satisfy sufficient smoothness and compatibility conditions, then Assumption 1.1 (g) and (h) are satisfied. By Ladyzenskaja, Solonnikov and Ural'ceva [27] for example, we can describe such conditions, but we are interested mainly in the inverse problem and we will not exploit these conditions.

Our first main result is the stability in determining the reaction coefficients b, c :

Theorem 1.2 *Let* $\theta \in (0,T)$ *be fixed. We suppose that Assumption 1.1 is satisfied and that* $(U,V)(\cdot,\theta) = (\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(\cdot,\theta)$ *in* Ω. *Then there exists a constant* $\kappa > 0$ *such that*

$$\|b - \widetilde{b}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \kappa \left(\|\partial_t (U - \widetilde{U})\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U - \widetilde{U}\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} \right) \tag{1.2}$$

The key ingredient to these stability results is a *global* Carleman estimate for system (1.1).

Since the pioneer work of Bukhgeim-Klibanov [7], Carleman estimates have been successfully used for the following problems:

(i) the uniqueness and the stability in determining coefficients: Especially for parabolic equations, see Benabdallah, Dermenjian and Le Rousseau [5], Benabdallah, Gaitan and Le Rousseau [6], Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [15], [17], Imanuvilov, Puel and Yamamoto [19], Isakov [21], Klibanov [23], [24] Klibanov and Timonov [26], Yuan and Yamamoto [32] and the references therein. For hyperbolic problems, among many works, we restrict ourselves to a few works such as Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [16], Isakov [20], [21], Klibanov [23], Klibanov and Timonov [26] and see the references also in Isakov [21] and Klibanov and Timonov [26].

(ii) observability inequalities and related estimates: see Fursikov and Imanuvilov [9], Imanuvilov [14], Isakov [20], [21], Kazemi and Klibanov [22], Klibanov and Malinsky [25]. Furthermore the exact controllability of linear systems is equivalent to the observability of the corresponding adjoint system and we can refer to [9], [14]. Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [17] discuss the global exact zero controllability for a semilinear parabolic equation. Also see Ammar-Khodja, Benabdallah and Dupaix [2], and Ammar-Khodja, Benabdallah, Dupaix and Kostine [3], [4], González-Burgos and Pérez-García [12] for semilinear parabolic systems.

Apart from the last previous works quoted, the existing Carleman estimates require observations of all the components when we will discuss inverse problems for a system such as (1.1). It is very desirable to establish the stability for inverse problems for a 2×2 parabolic system by means of only one component, because for a reaction-diffusion system it may be frequently difficult to observe the both components. There are not many papers devoted to such inverse problems for 2×2 parabolic

systems, and we can refer, for instance, to Cristofol, Gaitan and Ramoul [8].

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we derive a new Carleman estimate for system (1.1). In Section 3 we prove the stability result. In Section 4 we will remove Assumption 1.1 (f) on positivity of $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}$ at a time $\theta > 0$. Section 5 is devoted to some comments and open problems. The appendices provide technical proofs of lemmata stated in Sections 2 and 4. We want to point that the Carleman estimate proved in Section 2 implies a *new approximate controllability result* for a 2×2 reaction-diffusion-convection system with *one localized control*. As it will be seen in Section 5, this result can be extended to a 3×3 *reaction-diffusion system*.

2 Carleman estimate

2.1 A Carleman estimate for a 2×2 system by extra data of one compoment

Let $(a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq 2} \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$ and $(A_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq 2} \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)^n$. Let $u_0, v_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $f, g \in L^2(\Omega_T)$. Consider the following reaction-diffusion system with convection terms :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + a_{11}\ u + a_{12}\ v + A_{11} \cdot \nabla u + A_{12} \cdot \nabla v + f & \text{in} \quad \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t v = \Delta v + a_{21}\ u + a_{22}\ v + A_{21} \cdot \nabla u + A_{22} \cdot \nabla v + g & \text{in} \quad \Omega_T, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_T, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0,\ v(\cdot, 0) = v_0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Uniqueness existence and stability results in solving an initial value-boundary value problem (2.3) can be proved by the semigroup theory for example (e.g., [27], Pazy [30], Tanabe [31]). In particular it admits a unique solution $(u, v) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))^2 \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))^2$.

Our main interest is to derive a Carleman estimate of (u, v) solution of (2.3) by *solely* observing u

in $\omega \times (0,T)$. We make the following main assumptions :

Assumption 2.1 *(a) Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ with $\partial\omega \cap \partial\Omega = \gamma$ and $|\gamma| \neq 0$.*

(b) $|A_{12}(x,t) \cdot \nu(x)| \neq 0, \qquad (x,t) \in \gamma_T$, with $\gamma_T = \gamma \times (0,T)$,

(c) $\|A_{12}\|_{C^2(\overline{\omega_T})^n}$, $\|a_{12}\|_{C^2(\overline{\omega_T})}$, $\|A_{11}\|_{C^1(\overline{\omega_T})^n} \leq M$, where $M > 0$ is an arbitrarily fixed constant.

In the sequel κ will denote a generic constant and their values may change from a line to others. The dependence of κ on s will be specified.

In this section, we prove:

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\tau \geq 1$ and $\omega \subset \Omega$ be a subdomain such that $\overline{\omega} \subset \Omega$. Under Assumption 2.1, there exist $\alpha_\omega \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\alpha_\omega > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ and two positive constants s_0 and κ which depend on T, M, Ω, ω, τ and the L^∞-norms of a_{ij}, A_{ij}, such that there exist positive constants $\kappa_1(s,\tau)$ and κ such that the following Carleman estimate holds*

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{\tau-1} e^{-2s\eta_\omega} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta v|^2 + (s\rho)^2|\nabla u|^2 + (s\rho)^2|\nabla v|^2 + (s\rho)^4|u|^2 + (s\rho)^4|v|^2)$$

$$\leq \kappa_1(s,\tau)(\|u\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|f\|^2_{L^2(\omega_T)}) + \kappa \int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^\tau e^{-2s\eta_\omega}(|f|^2 + |g|^2)$$

for all $s \geq s_0$ and any solution (u,v) to (2.3). Here we set

$$\eta_\omega(x,t) = \frac{\alpha_\omega(x)}{t(T-t)}, \quad \rho(t) = \frac{1}{t(T-t)}. \tag{2.4}$$

This is a Carleman estimate for a 2×2 system with extra data in ω_T of only one component. In [2] and [8], it is assumed that $A_{11} = A_{12} = 0$. In that case, the proof can be completed by directly substituting v by means of u in ω_T. By the first-order coupling, we extra need Assumption 2.1 (a) and (b).

Proof of Theorem 2.2 First we prove

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be a subdomain and $\partial\omega \cap \partial\Omega = \gamma$. We consider*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j(x,t)\partial_j u(x,t) + q(x,t)u(x,t) = f(x,t), \quad x \in \omega \subset \Omega,\ 0 < t < T. \tag{2.5}$$

Here $p_j, q \in L^\infty(0,T;C^1(\overline{\Omega}))$ for $1 \le j \le n$. We set $p = (p_1,...,p_n)$ and let $\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x),...,\nu_n(x))$ be the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\omega$ at x. We assume that

$$|p(x,t)\cdot\nu(x)| \neq 0, \qquad x \in \overline{\gamma},\ 0 \le t \le T. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $u = u(x,t)$ satisfy (2.5) and $u|_{\gamma\times(0,T)} = 0$. Then there exist a subdomain $\omega' \subset \omega$ and a constant $\kappa > 0$, which is dependent on p and q and independent of f, such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\omega'_T)} \le \kappa \|f\|_{L^2(\omega'_T)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. We set $x = (x_1,...,x_n) = (x', x_n)$ and $y = (y_1,...,y_n) = (y', y_n)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\omega = \{(x', x_n);\ h(x') < x_n < h_1(x'),\ |x'| < \rho\}$$

and $\gamma = \{(x', x_n);\ x_n = h_1(x'),\ |x'| < \rho\}$. Here $\rho > 0$ is sufficiently small and $h, h_1 \in C^2(\{|x'| \le \rho\})$ satisfy $h = h_1$ on $\{|x'| = \rho\}$. We change independent variables $y' = x'$ and $y_n = x_n - h(x')$. Then ω is transformed to

$$\widetilde{\omega} = \{(y', y_n);\ 0 < y_n < (h_1 - h)(x'),\ |y'| < \rho\}.$$

Set $\tilde{u}(y,t) = u(x,t)$, $\tilde{p}(y,t) = p(x,t)$, $\tilde{q}(y,t) = q(x,t)$, $\tilde{f}(y,t) = f(x,t)$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \{(y',0);\ |y'| < \rho\}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \{(y', y_n);\ y_n = (h_1 - h)(y'),\ |y'| < \rho\}$. Then $\partial\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cup \tilde{\Gamma}_2$,

$$(\tilde{P}\tilde{u})(y,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{p}_j(y,t)\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial y_j}(y,t)$$

$$+\tilde{r}(y,t)\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial y_n}(y,t)+\tilde{q}(y,t)\tilde{u}(y,t)=\tilde{f}(y,t),\quad y\in\tilde{\omega},\ 0<t<T \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\tilde{r}(y,t)=\tilde{p}_n(y,t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\tilde{p}_j(y,t)\frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j}(y,t),$$

and

$$\tilde{u}(y',y_n,t)=0,\qquad y_n=(h_1-h)(y'),\ |y'|<\rho,\ 0<t<T. \tag{2.8}$$

Moerover $\nu(x)$ is parallel to $(\partial_1 h(x'),....,\partial_{n-1}h(x'),-1)$ on $\{(x',x_n);\ x_n=h(x'),\ |x'|<\rho\}$. Therefore, in terms of (2.6), without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that $\tilde{r}(y',0,t)>2\delta$ for $|y'|<\rho$ and $0<t<T$. We choose $\rho>0$ sufficiently small, so that

$$\tilde{r}(y,t)>\delta,\qquad y\in\tilde{\omega},\ 0<t<T. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\tilde{\nu}(y)=(\tilde{\nu}_1(y),...,\tilde{\nu}_n(y))$ be the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\tilde{\omega}$ at y. Then $\tilde{\nu}(y)$ is parallel to $(0,...,0,-1)$ for $y\in\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ and to $\left(-\frac{\partial(h_1-h)}{\partial y_1}(y'),...,-\frac{\partial(h_1-h)}{\partial y_{n-1}}(y'),1\right)$ for $y\in\tilde{\Gamma}_2$.

Hence, by choosing h_1,h such that $\|h_1-h\|_{C^1(\{|y'|\le\rho\})}$ is sufficiently small if necessary, by (2.9) we have

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_1\subset\left\{y\in\partial\tilde{\omega};\ \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\tilde{p}_j(y,t)\tilde{\nu}_j(y)+\tilde{r}(y,t)\tilde{\nu}_n(y)\le 0\right\} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\tilde{u}(\cdot,t)=0\quad\text{on }\tilde{\Gamma}_2,\quad \tilde{\Gamma}_2\subset\left\{y\in\partial\tilde{\omega};\ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\tilde{p}_j(y,t)\tilde{\nu}_j(y)+\tilde{r}(y,t)\tilde{\nu}_n(y)>0\right\}. \tag{2.11}$$

For the proof of the lemma, it suffices to prove a Carleman estimate for (2.5), whose proof is similar for example to Lemma 3.2 in [18]. We set

$$\tilde{P}_0\tilde{u}=\widetilde{P}\widetilde{u}-\tilde{q}\tilde{u}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\tilde{p}_j(y,t)\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial y_j}(y,t)+\tilde{r}(y,t)\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial y_n}(y,t),$$

$w = w(\cdot,t) = \tilde{u}(\cdot,t)e^{sy_n}$ and $Qw = e^{sy_n}\tilde{P}_0(e^{-sy_n}w)$. Then

$$Qw = \tilde{P}_0 w - s\tilde{r}(y,t)w.$$

We arbitrarily fix $t \in [0,T]$. Hence by integration by parts and (2.8) - (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{P}_0\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy = \int_{\tilde{\omega}} |Qw|^2 dy \\
= \quad & \|\tilde{P}_0 w\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{\omega})} + s^2\|\tilde{r}(\cdot,t)w\|^2_{L^2(\tilde{\omega})} - 2s\int_{\tilde{\omega}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{p}_j \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_j}(y,t) + \tilde{r}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_n}\right)\tilde{r}w dy \\
\geq \quad & s^2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} \tilde{r}^2 w^2 dy - s\int_{\tilde{\omega}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{p}_j\tilde{r}\frac{\partial w^2}{\partial y_j} + \tilde{r}^2\frac{\partial w^2}{\partial y_n}\right) dy \\
\geq \quad & s^2\delta\int_{\tilde{\omega}} w^2 dy + s\int_{\tilde{\omega}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial(\tilde{p}_j\tilde{r})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial \tilde{r}^2}{\partial y_n}\right) w^2 dy - s\left(\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_1} + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_2}\right)\tilde{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\tilde{p}_j\tilde{\nu}_j + \tilde{r}\tilde{\nu}_n\right) w^2 dS \\
\geq \quad & s^2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} \left(\delta - \frac{\kappa_1}{s}\right) w^2 dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Henceforth $\kappa_j > 0$ depends on $\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\|p_j\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega_T})}$ and ω. Hence we have

$$s^2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy \leq \kappa_2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{P}_0\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy$$

for all large $s > 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{P}_0\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy \leq 2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{P}\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy + 2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{q}\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy \\
\leq \quad & 2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{f}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy + 2\|q\|^2_{C(\overline{\Omega_T})}\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy,
\end{aligned}$$

by choosing s large such that $\frac{s^2}{\kappa_2} - 2\|q\|^2_{C(\overline{\Omega_T})} \geq \frac{s^2}{2}$, we have

$$s^2\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{u}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy \leq \kappa_3\int_{\tilde{\omega}} |\tilde{f}|^2 e^{2sy_n}dy$$

for all large $s > 0$. Since $1 \leq e^{2sy_n} \leq e^{2s\kappa_4}$ for $y \in \tilde{\omega}$ where $\kappa_4 = \|h_1 - h\|_{C(\{|y'|\leq\rho\})}$, for all large $s > 0$, we fix $s > 0$ large and we have $\|\tilde{u}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\tilde{\omega})} \leq \kappa_5\|\tilde{f}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\tilde{\omega})}$. By integrating over $t \in (0,T)$, the proof of Lemma 2.3 is completed.

By (2.3), we have

$$A_{14} \cdot \nabla v + a_{12} v = \partial_t u - \Delta u + a_{11}\ u + A_{13}\ .\nabla u + f \text{ in } \omega_T$$

and

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T).$$

In terms of Assumption 2.1 (b), we apply Lemma 2.3 so that we can choose a subdomain $\omega' \subset \Omega$ such that

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\omega'_T)} \le \kappa \|u\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega'_T)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\omega'_T)}. \tag{2.12}$$

By [9] and [14], for ω', there exist $\beta_{\omega'} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\beta_{\omega'} > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ and two positive constants s_0 and κ, which depend on T, Ω, ω', τ and L^∞ norms of a_{ij}, A_{ij}, such that for all $s \ge s_0$, there exist positive constants $\kappa_1(s, \tau)$ and κ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{\tau-1} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + (s\rho)^2 |\nabla u|^2 + (s\rho)^4 |u|^2)$$

$$\le \kappa \int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^\tau e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} |a_{12} v + A_{12} \cdot \nabla v + f|^2 + \kappa \int_{\omega'_T} (s\rho)^{\tau+3} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} |u|^2$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{\tau-1} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|\partial_t v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2 + (s\rho)^2 |\nabla v|^2 + (s\rho)^4 |v|^2)$$

$$\le \kappa \int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^\tau e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} |a_{21} u + A_{21} \cdot \nabla u + g|^2 + \kappa \int_{\omega'_T} (s\rho)^{\tau+3} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} |v|^2$$

for all large $s > 0$. Here and henceforth we set $\widetilde{\eta_{\omega'}}(x, t) = \frac{\beta_{\omega'}(x)}{t(T-t)}$. Adding them and choosing $s > 0$ sufficiently large to absorb the terms of $u, v, \nabla u, \nabla v$ on the right hand side into the left hand side. Hence

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{\tau-1} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta v|^2 + (s\rho)^2 |\nabla u|^2 + (s\rho)^2 |\nabla v|^2 + (s\rho)^4 |u|^2 + (s\rho)^4 |v|^2)$$

$$\leq \kappa \int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{\tau} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|f|^2 + |g|^2) + \kappa \int_{\omega'_T} (s\rho)^{\tau+3} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|u|^2 + |v|^2)$$

for all large $s > 0$. Moreover we have $|(s\rho)^{\tau+3} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}}| \leq \kappa_2(s,\tau)$ on $\overline{\Omega_T}$ by $\beta_{\omega'} > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Hence

$$\int_{\omega'_T} (s\rho)^{\tau+3} e^{-2s\widetilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|u|^2 + |v|^2) \leq \kappa_2(s,\tau)(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\omega'_T)} + \|v\|^2_{L^2(\omega'_T)}).$$

Apply Lemma 2.3, set $\alpha_\omega = \beta_{\omega'}$ and note by $\omega' \subset \omega$ that $\|u\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega'_T)} \leq \|u\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}$. Then the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us recall that (U, V) satisfie (1.1) and $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V})$ system (1.1) with b, c, U_0, V_0 replaced by $\tilde{b}, \tilde{c}, \tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0$ respectively.

We set

$$u = U - \widetilde{U}, \quad v = V - \widetilde{V}.$$

Then (u, v) satisfies

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + au + bv + A \cdot \nabla u + B \cdot \nabla v + (b - \tilde{b})\tilde{V},$$

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + cu + dv + C \cdot \nabla u + D \cdot \nabla v + (c - \tilde{c})\tilde{U} \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$u = v = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T$$

and

$$u(\cdot, \theta) = v(\cdot, \theta) = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega.$$

By Assumption 1.1, we can assume that $|\widetilde{U}(x,t)|, |\widetilde{V}(x,t)| \neq 0$ for all $(x,t) \in \overline{\Omega_T}$ by taking $T > 0$ sufficiently small if necessary. Moreover we can assume that $\theta = \frac{T}{2}$. Because we take small $\delta > 0$

such that $0 \le \theta - \delta < \theta < \theta + \delta \le T$ and we can replace $\omega \times (0,T)$ by $\omega \times (\theta-\delta, \theta+\delta)$. Shifting t by $t - (\theta - \delta)$, we can set $\theta = \delta$ and $T = 2\delta$.

Setting

$$\widetilde{u} = \frac{u}{\widetilde{V}}, \quad \widetilde{v} = \frac{v}{\widetilde{U}}, \quad f = b - \widetilde{b}, \qquad g = c - \widetilde{c},$$

we have

$$\partial_t \widetilde{u} = \Delta \widetilde{u} + a_{11}\widetilde{u} + a_{12}\widetilde{v} + A_{13} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{u} + A_{14} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{v} + f \quad \text{in } \Omega_T, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\partial_t \widetilde{v} = \Delta \widetilde{v} + a_{21}\widetilde{u} + a_{22}\widetilde{v} + A_{23} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{u} + A_{24} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{v} + g \quad \text{in } \Omega_T, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$a_{11} = a - \frac{\partial_t \widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{V}} + \frac{\Delta \widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{V}} + A \cdot \frac{\nabla \widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{V}}, \quad a_{12} = b\frac{\widetilde{U}}{\widetilde{V}} + B \cdot \frac{\nabla \widetilde{U}}{\widetilde{V}},$$

$$A_{13} = A + \frac{2\nabla \widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{V}},$$

$$A_{14}(x,t) = B\frac{\widetilde{U}}{\widetilde{V}}(x,t) \equiv B(x)W(x,t),$$

$$a_{21} = c\frac{\widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{U}} + C\frac{\nabla \widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{U}}, \quad a_{22} = d - \frac{\partial_t \widetilde{U}}{\widetilde{U}} + \frac{\Delta \widetilde{U}}{\widetilde{U}} + D \cdot \frac{\nabla \widetilde{U}}{\widetilde{U}}$$

and

$$A_{23} = C\frac{\widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{U}}, \quad A_{24} = D + \frac{2\nabla \widetilde{U}}{\widetilde{U}}.$$

Let

$$y = \partial_t \widetilde{u}, \quad z = \partial_t \widetilde{v}.$$

Since $b, c, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}$ are independent of t, we obtain

$$\partial_t y = \Delta y + a_{11} y + a_{12} z + A_{13} \cdot \nabla y + A_{14} \cdot \nabla z$$

$$+(\partial_t a_{11})\widetilde{u} + (\partial_t a_{12})\widetilde{v} + (\partial_t A_{13}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{u} + (\partial_t A_{14}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{v}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\partial_t z = \Delta z + a_{21} y + a_{22} z + A_{23} \cdot \nabla y + A_{24} \cdot \nabla z$$

$$+(\partial_t a_{21})\widetilde{u} + (\partial_t a_{22})\widetilde{v} + (\partial_t A_{23}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{u} + (\partial_t A_{24}) \cdot \nabla \widetilde{v} \tag{3.16}$$

$$y = z = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T.$$

First Step. In terms of y, we estimate an L^2-norm of z in a subdomain of Ω. Since $\tilde{u}(x,t) = \int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi$ and $\tilde{v}(x,t) = \int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi$ by $\tilde{u}(\cdot,\theta) = \tilde{v}(\cdot,\theta) = 0$, we rewrite (3.15) as

$$B(x) \cdot \nabla z(x,t) + b_1(x) z(x,t) + W_1(x,t) B(x) \cdot \int_\theta^t \nabla z(x,\xi)d\xi + b_2(x,t) \int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{W(x,t)} \left(\partial_t y(x,t) - \Delta y(x,t) - a_{11} y(x,t) - A_{13} \cdot \nabla y - (\partial_t a_{11}) \int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi - (\partial_t A_{13}) \cdot \int_\theta^t \nabla y(x,\xi)d\xi \right)$$

$$\equiv Q(y)(x,t) \qquad x \in \omega,\ 0 < t < T. \tag{3.17}$$

Here we set

$$b_1(x,t) = \frac{a_{12}(x,t)}{W(x,t)}, \quad b_2(x,t) = \frac{\partial_t a_{12}(x,t)}{W(x,t)}, \quad W_1(x,t) = \frac{\partial_t W(x,t)}{W(x,t)}.$$

We will estimate z in a subdomain ω' of ω by means of (3.17), and the argument is similar to Lemma 2.3 but we need a special weight function for treating the integral terms $\int_\theta^t \nabla z(x,\xi)d\xi$ and $\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi$. First we show

Lemma 3.1 *Let $T = 2\theta$ and let $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^1[0,T^2]$ and let us assume that there exists a constant $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that $\frac{d\tilde{\varphi}}{dt}(t) \le -\kappa_0$ for $t \in [0,T^2]$. Then*

$$\int_0^T \left| \int_\theta^t g(\xi)d\xi \right|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}((t-\theta)^2)} dt \le \frac{1}{4s\kappa_0} \int_0^T |g(t)|^2 e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}((t-\theta)^2)} dt.$$

The proof is given by Klibanov and Timonov p.78, [26].

Henceforth we choose $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = -t$ and we set $\varphi_1(t) = \tilde{\varphi}((t-\theta)^2) = -(t-\theta)^2$. Then the conclusion of Lemma 3.1 holds true.

We set

$$w(x,t) = z(x,t) + W_1(x,t)\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi, \quad x \in \Omega,\ 0 < t < T. \tag{3.18}$$

Then direct calculations yield

$$B(x)\cdot\nabla w(x,t) = Q(y)(x,t) - b_1 z - b_2\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi + (B\cdot\nabla W_1)\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi \quad \text{in } \omega_T. \tag{3.19}$$

Henceforth $\kappa_j > 0$ denote generic constants which are dependent on M, δ_0 in Assumption 1.1 and independent of $s > 0$. In terms of Assumption 1.1 (d), we can apply Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & s^2\int_{\omega'} |w(x,t)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_0(x)}dx \le \kappa_1\int_{\omega'} |Q(y)(x,t)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_0(x)}dx \\ + \quad & \kappa_1\int_{\omega'} |z(x,t)|^2 e^{2s\varphi_0(x)}dx + \kappa_1\int_{\omega'}\left|\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi_0(x)}dx \end{aligned}$$

for all large $s > 0$. Here and henceforth we set $\varphi_0(x) = x_n - \gamma(x')$.

Hence by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s^2\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} |w(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt \le \kappa_1\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} |Q(y)(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt \\ + \quad & \kappa_1\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} |z(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt + \kappa_1\int_{\omega'}\left(\int_0^T\left|\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi_1(t)}dt\right)e^{2s\varphi_0(x)}dx \\ \le \quad & \kappa_1\int_{\omega'_T} |Q(y)(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt + \kappa_1\int_{\omega'_T} |z(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt \\ + \quad & \frac{\kappa_1}{s}\int_{\omega'_T} |z(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} s^2\int_{\omega'_T} |w(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt &\le \kappa_2 e^{2s\kappa_3}\|y\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega'_T)} \\ &+\kappa_2\int_{\omega'_T} |z(x,t)|^2 e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

for all large $s>0$. On the other hand, (3.18) and Lemma 3.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\omega_T'}|z(x,t)|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt=\int_{\omega_T'}\left|w(x,t)-W_1(x,t)\int_\theta^t z(x,t)d\xi\right|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt\\
\leq\ &\kappa_4\int_{\omega_T'}|w(x,t)|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt+\kappa_4\int_{\omega_T'}\left|\int_\theta^t z(x,t)d\xi\right|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt\\
\leq\ &\kappa_5\int_{\omega_T'}|w(x,t)|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt+\frac{\kappa_5}{s}\int_{\omega_T'}|z(x,t)|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt
\end{aligned}$$

for all large $s>0$. Hence choosing $s>0$ sufficiently large, we have

$$\int_{\omega_T'}|z(x,t)|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt\leq\kappa_6\int_{\omega_T'}|w(x,t)|^2e^{2s(\varphi_0(x)+\varphi_1(t))}dxdt \tag{3.21}$$

for all large $s>0$. Substituting (3.21) into (3.20) and fixing $s>0$ sufficiently large, we obtain

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\omega_T')}\leq\kappa_7e^{\kappa_7 s}\|y\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T')}.$$

Hence by (3.21) we have

$$\|z\|_{L^2(\omega_T')}\leq\kappa_8e^{\kappa_7 s}\|y\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T')}. \tag{3.22}$$

Second Step. We will estimate $\|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\omega_1\times(\delta,T-\delta))}$ where $\omega_1\subset\omega$ and $\delta>0$. For it, we use the interior regularity estimate for a heat equation (3.16) in z. Let us recall that $\rho(t)=\frac{1}{t(T-t)}$. Setting $\tilde z(x,t)=e^{-\rho(t)}z(x,t)$, we rewrite (3.16) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t\tilde z(x,t)=\Delta\tilde z(x,t)-\rho'(t)\tilde z(x,t)+a_{22}\tilde z+A_{24}\cdot\nabla\tilde z\\
&+(\partial_t a_{22})\int_\theta^t e^{\rho(\xi)-\rho(t)}\tilde z(x,\xi)d\xi+(\partial_t A_{24})\cdot\int_\theta^t e^{\rho(\xi)-\rho(t)}\nabla\tilde z(x,\xi)d\xi\\
&+e^{-\rho(t)}\left(a_{21}y+A_{23}\cdot\nabla y+(\partial_t a_{21})\int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi+(\partial_t A_{23})\cdot\int_\theta^t\nabla y(x,\xi)d\xi\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

We choose subdomains ω_1, ω_2 of C^∞ class such that $\omega_1 \subset \overline{\omega_1} \subset \omega_2 \subset \overline{\omega_2} \subset \omega'$ and choose $\chi \in C^1(\overline{\omega'})$,

≥ 0 such that

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \omega_1, \\ 0, & x \in \omega' \setminus \overline{\omega_2}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover we can take χ satisfying

$$\frac{|\nabla\chi(x)|^2}{\chi(x)} \leq \kappa_9 \quad x \in \overline{\omega'} \tag{3.24}$$

(e.g., p.414 in Lions [29]). Multiplying (3.23) with $\chi\tilde{z}$ and integrating over $\omega' \times (0,T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} \chi(x)\partial_t(\tilde{z}^2)dxdt = -\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} \chi|\nabla\tilde{z}|^2dxdt - \int_0^T\int_{\omega'} \nabla\chi\cdot\tilde{z}\nabla\tilde{z}dxdt - \int_0^T\int_{\omega'} \chi\rho'(t)e^{-2\rho(t)}|z|^2dxdt \\ + & \int_0^T\int_{\omega'} (a_{22}|\tilde{z}|^2\chi + A_{24}\cdot\nabla\tilde{z}\chi\tilde{z})dxdt + \int_0^T\int_{\omega'} (\partial_t a_{22})\chi\tilde{z}\left(\int_\theta^t e^{\rho(\xi)-\rho(t)}\tilde{z}(x,\xi)d\xi\right)dxdt \\ + & \int_0^T\int_{\omega'} (\partial_t A_{24})\cdot\chi\tilde{z}\left(\int_\theta^t e^{\rho(\xi)-\rho(t)}\nabla\tilde{z}(x,\xi)d\xi\right)dxdt \\ + & \int_0^T\int_{\omega'} e^{-\rho(t)}\chi\tilde{z}\left(a_{21}y + A_{23}\nabla y + (\partial_t a_{21})\int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi + (\partial_t A_{23})\cdot\int_\theta^t \nabla y(x,\xi)d\xi\right)dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3.24), we have

$$|\nabla\chi\cdot\tilde{z}\nabla\tilde{z}| = \left|\frac{\nabla\chi}{\sqrt{\chi}}\tilde{z}\cdot\sqrt{\chi}\nabla\tilde{z}\right| \leq \frac{1}{8}\chi|\nabla\tilde{z}|^2 + \frac{2|\nabla\chi|^2}{\chi}|\tilde{z}|^2$$

and

$$|A_{24}\cdot\chi\tilde{z}\nabla\tilde{z}| = |\sqrt{\chi}\nabla\tilde{z}\cdot A_{24}\sqrt{\chi}\tilde{z}| \leq \frac{1}{8}\chi|\nabla\tilde{z}|^2 + 2|A_{24}|^2\chi|\tilde{z}|^2.$$

Hence, since $\tilde{z}(\cdot,0) = \tilde{z}(\cdot,T) = 0$,

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}|\rho'(t)e^{-2\rho(t)}| < \infty$$

and $\rho(\xi) - \rho(t) \leq 0$ if ξ is between θ and t, we have

$$\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} \chi|\nabla\tilde{z}|^2dxdt \leq \frac{1}{4}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'} \chi|\nabla\tilde{z}|^2dxdt$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \quad \kappa_{10}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}(|\tilde{z}|^2+|z|^2)dxdt+\kappa_{10}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}|\tilde{z}|\left|\int_\theta^t\tilde{z}(x,\xi)d\xi\right|dxdt\\
&+ \quad \kappa_{10}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\chi|\tilde{z}|\left|\int_\theta^t\nabla\tilde{z}(x,\xi)d\xi\right|dxdt\\
&+ \quad \kappa_{10}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\left\{|\tilde{z}|(|y|+|\nabla y|)+|\tilde{z}|\left(\left|\int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi\right|+\left|\int_\theta^t\nabla y(x,\xi)d\xi\right|\right)\right\}dxdt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\chi|\tilde{z}(x,t)|\left|\int_\theta^t\nabla\tilde{z}(x,\xi)d\xi\right|dxdt\\
\leq\ &\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\sqrt{\chi}|\tilde{z}(x,t)|\left(\int_0^T\sqrt{\chi(x)}|\nabla\tilde{z}(x,\xi)|d\xi\right)dxdt\\
\leq\ &\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\left(\frac{1}{8T^2}\left|\int_0^T\sqrt{\chi}|\nabla\tilde{z}(x,\xi)|d\xi\right|^2+2T^2\chi|\tilde{z}(x,\xi)|^2\right)dxdt\\
\leq\ &2T^2\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}|\tilde{z}(x,t)|^2dxdt+\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\frac{1}{8T}\int_0^T\chi|\nabla\tilde{z}(x,\xi)|^2d\xi dxdt\\
\leq\ &2T^2\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}|\tilde{z}(x,t)|^2dxdt+\frac{1}{8}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\chi|\nabla\tilde{z}(x,t)|^2dxdt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{5}{8}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}\chi|\nabla\tilde{z}(x,t)|^2dxdt\\
\leq\ &\kappa_{11}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}(|\tilde{z}|^2+|z|^2)dxdt+\kappa_{11}\int_0^T\int_{\omega'}(|y|^2+|\nabla y|^2)dxdt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta>0$ be fixed sufficiently small. Then $|\nabla\tilde{z}(x,t)|\geq\kappa_{12}(\delta)|\nabla z(x,t)|$ for $\delta\leq t\leq T-\delta$. Since $\chi=1$ in ω_1, we have

$$
\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_{\omega_1}|\nabla z|^2dxdt\leq\kappa_{13}(\delta)(\|z\|^2_{L^2(\omega'_T)}+\|y\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\omega'))}).
$$

By means of (3.22), we obtain

$$
\|z\|_{L^2(\delta,T-\delta;H^1(\omega_1))}\leq\kappa_{14}(\delta)\|y\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega'_T)}. \tag{3.25}
$$

Third Step. We apply Theorem 2.2 to (3.15) and (3.16) for $\omega'\subset\Omega$ and $(\delta,T-\delta)$. We set

$$
\eta(x,t)=\frac{\alpha_{\omega'}(x)}{(t-\delta)(T-\delta-t)}.
$$

Using also (3.25), we obtain that there exist two positive constants s_0 and κ such that for all $s \geq s_0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega (s\rho)^{-1}e^{-2s\eta}(|\partial_t y|^2+|\partial_t z|^2+|\Delta y|^2+|\Delta z|^2+(s\rho)^2|\nabla y|^2+(s\rho)^2|\nabla z|^2+(s\rho)^4|y|^2+(s\rho)^4|z|^2)dxdt \\
&\leq \kappa_{15}\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega |(\partial_t a_{11})\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t a_{12})\widetilde{v}+(\partial_t A_{13})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t A_{14})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{v}|^2 e^{-2s\eta}dxdt \\
&+\kappa_{15}\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega |(\partial_t a_{21})\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t a_{22})\widetilde{v}+(\partial_t A_{23})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t A_{24})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{v}|^2)e^{-2s\eta}dxdt \\
&+\kappa_{16}(s)\|y\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega'\times(\delta,T-\delta))} \\
&+\kappa_{16}(s)\Big\|(\partial_t a_{11})\int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi+(\partial_t a_{12})\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi+(\partial_t A_{13})\cdot\int_\theta^t\nabla y(x,\xi)d\xi \\
&+(\partial_t A_{14})\cdot\int_\theta^t\nabla z(x,\xi)d\xi\Big\|^2_{L^2(\omega'\times(\delta,T-\delta))} \\
&+\kappa_{16}(s)\Big\|(\partial_t a_{21})\int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi+(\partial_t a_{22})\int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi+(\partial_t A_{23})\cdot\int_\theta^t\nabla y(x,\xi)d\xi \\
&+(\partial_t A_{24})\cdot\int_\theta^t\nabla z(x,\xi)d\xi\Big\|^2_{L^2(\omega'\times(\delta,T-\delta))} \\
&\leq \kappa_{15}\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega |(\partial_t a_{11})\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t a_{12})\widetilde{v}+(\partial_t A_{13})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t A_{14})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{v}|^2 e^{-2s\eta}dxdt \\
&+\kappa_{15}\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega |(\partial_t a_{21})\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t a_{22})\widetilde{v}+(\partial_t A_{23})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{u}+(\partial_t A_{24})\cdot\nabla\widetilde{v}|^2)e^{-2s\eta}dxdt \\
&+\kappa_{16}(s)\|y\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}. \qquad (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

for all large $s > 0$. In order to improve inequality (3.26), we use the following lemma. ([24] , Lemma 3.1.1 in [26]).

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\theta = \frac{T}{2}$. There exists a positive constant κ_{17} such that*

$$\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega \left|\int_\theta^t q(x,\xi)d\xi\right|^2 e^{-2s\eta}dxdt \leq \frac{\kappa_{17}}{s}\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega |q(x,t)|^2 e^{-2s\eta}dxdt$$

for $s > 0$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2 The proof is similar to [24], Lemma 3.1.1 in [26]. We have

$$\int_\delta^{T-\delta} \int_\Omega \left| \int_\theta^t q(x,\xi) d\xi \right|^2 e^{-2s\eta} dxdt$$

$$= \int_\Omega \int_\delta^\theta \left| \int_t^\theta q(x,\xi) d\xi \right|^2 e^{-2s\eta} dxdt + \int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} \left| \int_\theta^t q(x,\xi) d\xi \right|^2 e^{-2s\eta} dxdt.$$

It is sufficient to estimate the second term because the estimation of the first term is similar. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} \left| \int_\theta^t q(x,\xi) d\xi \right|^2 e^{-2s\eta} dxdt \leq \int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} (t-\theta) \left(\int_\theta^t |q(x,\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) e^{-2s\eta} dxdt$$

$$\leq \int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} \frac{(t-\delta)^2 (T-\delta-t)^2}{2\alpha_{\omega'}(x)} \partial_t \eta(x,t) \left(\int_\theta^t |q(x,\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) e^{-2s\eta} dxdt.$$

Here we used

$$\partial_t \eta(x,t) = \frac{2(t-\theta)\alpha_{\omega'}(x)}{(t-\delta)^2 (T-\delta-t)^2}.$$

Noting that $\alpha_{\omega'}(x) > 0$ and $\partial_t \eta(x,t) \geq 0$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $\theta \leq t \leq T-\delta$, we have

$$\int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} \left(\int_\theta^t |q(x,\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) e^{-2s\eta} dxdt \leq \kappa'_{17} \int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} \left(\int_\theta^t |q(x,\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) (\partial_t \eta(x,t)) e^{-2s\eta(x,t)} dxdt$$

$$= -\frac{\kappa'_{17}}{2s} \int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} \left(\int_\theta^t |q(x,\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) \partial_t (e^{-2s\eta(x,t)}) dxdt.$$

By noting that $e^{-2s\eta(x,T-\delta)} = 0$, the integration by parts implies that the right hand side is equal to

$$\frac{\kappa'_{17}}{2s} \int_\Omega \int_\theta^{T-\delta} |q(x,t)|^2 \partial_t (e^{-2s\eta(x,t)}) dxdt.$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 3.2 is completed.

Since $\widetilde{u}(x,t) = \int_\theta^t y(x,\xi)d\xi$ and $\widetilde{v}(x,t) = \int_\theta^t z(x,\xi)d\xi$, by a direct application of this lemma, the first integral on the right hand side of (3.26) can be absorbed into the left hand side. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\delta^{T-\delta}\int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{1}{s\rho}(|\partial_t y|^2 + |\partial_t z|^2 + |\Delta y|^2 + |\Delta z|^2) + s\rho(|\nabla y|^2 + |\nabla z|^2) + s^3\rho^3(|y|^2 + |z|^2) \right\} e^{-2s\eta} dxdt \\
\leq\ & \kappa_{16}(s)(\|\partial_t(U - \widetilde{U})\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U - \widetilde{U}\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)})
\end{aligned}$$

for all large $s > 0$. We choose $t_0 > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\delta < t_0 < \theta < T - t_0 < T - \delta$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{t_0}^{T-t_0}\int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{1}{s\rho}(|\partial_t y|^2 + |\partial_t z|^2 + |\Delta y|^2 + |\Delta z|^2) + s\rho(|\nabla y|^2 + |\nabla z|^2) + s^3\rho^3(|y|^2 + |z|^2) \right\} e^{-2s\eta} dxdt \\
\leq\ & \kappa_{16}(s)(\|\partial_t(U - \widetilde{U})\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U - \widetilde{U}\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{\rho}e^{-2s\eta}$, $\rho e^{-2s\eta} \geq \kappa_0(t_0, s)$ on $\overline{\Omega} \times [t_0, T - t_0]$, we fix $s > 0$ sufficiently large, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widetilde{u}\|^2_{H^1(t_0,T-t_0;H^2(\Omega))} + \|\widetilde{u}\|^2_{H^2(t_0,T-t_0;L^2(\Omega))} + \|\widetilde{v}\|^2_{H^1(t_0,T-t_0;H^2(\Omega))} + \|\widetilde{v}\|^2_{H^2(t_0,T-t_0;L^2(\Omega))} \\
\leq\ & \kappa_{16}(s)(\|\partial_t(U - \widetilde{U})\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U - \widetilde{U}\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the trace theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t\widetilde{u}(\cdot,\theta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\partial_t\widetilde{v}(\cdot,\theta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\widetilde{u}(\cdot,\theta)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\widetilde{v}(\cdot,\theta)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
\leq\ & \kappa_{16}(s)(\|\partial_t(U - \widetilde{U})\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U - \widetilde{U}\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since f and g satisfy (3.13) and (3.14) at $t = \theta$, we see that

$$\|b - \widetilde{b}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \kappa(\|\partial_t(U - \widetilde{U})\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U - \widetilde{U}\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}).$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

4 Removing the positivity assumption

For the stability in our inverse problem, the non-vanishing condition Assumption 1.1 (f) is crucial and does not hold automatically. We are going to prove that one can realize this assumption by a suitable control.

Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed such that

$$\frac{m}{4} > n. \tag{4.27}$$

We assume that

$$a, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}, d, A, B, C, D \in W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega). \tag{4.28}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} L(u,v) = L(a,\widetilde{b},\widetilde{c},d,A,B,C,D)(u,v) &= \begin{pmatrix} L_1(u,v) \\ \\ L_2(u,v) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\begin{pmatrix} \Delta u + au + \widetilde{b}v + A\cdot\nabla u + B\cdot\nabla v \\ \\ \Delta v + \widetilde{c}u + dv + C\cdot\nabla v + D\cdot\nabla v \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$D(L) = \Big(H^2(\Omega)\cap H_0^1(\Omega)\Big)^2.$$

For $h \in L^2(\omega_T)$, let $(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V}) := (\widetilde{U}(\tilde{U}_0,\tilde{V}_0,h)(\cdot,\cdot), \widetilde{V}(\tilde{U}_0,\tilde{V}_0,h)(\cdot,\cdot))$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V}) = -L(a,\widetilde{b},\widetilde{c},d,A,B,C,D)(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V}) + (\chi_\omega h, 0) \qquad \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ &(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V}) = (0,0) \text{ on } \Sigma_T, \quad (\tilde{U},\tilde{V})(\cdot,0) = (\tilde{U}_0,\tilde{V}_0) \text{ in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

By (U,V) we denote the solution to (4.30) with b, c replacing $\widetilde{b},\widetilde{c}$. Our main result in this section is the following :

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose Assumption 1.1 except for (f). Let ω_1 be a neighbourhood of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\overline{\omega} \subset \omega_1$ and let $b = \widetilde{b}$ and $c = \widetilde{c}$ in ω_1. Let $(U,V)(\cdot,\theta) = (\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(\cdot,\theta)$. Then there exists $h \in L^2(\omega_T)$ depending on $a, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}, d, A, B, C, D$, $\widetilde{U_0}, \widetilde{V_0}$ and ω, such that there exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$\|b - \widetilde{b}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \kappa(\|\partial_t(U - \widetilde{U})\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U - \widetilde{U}\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}) \tag{4.31}$$

for arbitrary b, c, U, V satisfying Assumption 1.1 (a), (e), (h).

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1.

First Step. First we prove

Lemma 4.2 *Let Assumption 1.1 except for (f) hold and let $b = \tilde{b}$, $c = \tilde{c}$ in ω_1. Then there exists $h \in L^2(\omega_T)$ such that*

$$|\tilde{U}(\cdot,\theta)|,\ |\tilde{V}(\cdot,\theta)| \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_1}. \tag{4.32}$$

In this step, we will give the proof of Lemma 4.2, which is based on the approximate controllability and our Carleman estimate Theorem 2.2.

Taking $M > 0$ for $a, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}, d, A, B, C, D$, and setting $U_1 = e^{-Mt}\widetilde{U}$ and $V_1 = e^{-Mt}\widetilde{V}$, we have

$$\partial_t U_1 = \Delta U_1 + (a - M)U_1 + \widetilde{b}V_1 + A \cdot \nabla U_1 + B \cdot \nabla V_1 + e^{-Mt}\chi_\omega h$$

and

$$\partial_t V_1 = \Delta V_1 + \widetilde{c}U_1 + (d - M)V_1 + C \cdot \nabla U_1 + D \cdot \nabla V_1.$$

Consequently, by choosing $M > 0$ sufficiently large, the integration by parts yields

$$((L + MI)(u,v),(u,v))_{(L^2(\Omega))^2} \ge \kappa_1 \|(u,v)\|^2_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}, \quad (u,v) \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

Therefore with fear of confusion, we may denote $a - M$ and $d - M$ by a and d respectively. Then

$$\|(u,v)\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2} \le \kappa_1 \|L(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}, \quad (u,v) \in \mathcal{D}(L). \tag{4.33}$$

Here and henceforth $\kappa_j > 0$ denote generic constants which depend on Ω, M, $\|a\|_{W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|\widetilde{b}\|_{W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|\widetilde{c}\|_{W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|d\|_{W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|A\|_{(W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega))^n}$, $\|B\|_{(W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega))^n}$, $\|C\|_{(W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega))^n}$, $\|D\|_{(W^{2m-2,\infty}(\Omega))^n}$. We can prove

Lemma 4.3 *Under assumption (4.28), there exists a constant $\kappa_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\|(u,v)\|_{(H^{2m}(\Omega))^2} \le \kappa_2 \|L^m(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}, \quad (u,v) \in \mathcal{D}(L^m).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3 The proof is done by the classical regularity property for the Dirichlet problem for the Poisson equation (e.g., Theorem 8.13 in Gilbarg and Trudinger [10]) and given here for completeness.

We recall (4.29) and we set $Q(u,v) = (Q_1(u,v), Q_2(u,v))$, $Q_1(u,v) = au + \tilde{b}v + A \cdot \nabla u + B \cdot \nabla v$ and $Q_2(u,v) = \tilde{c}u + dv + C \cdot \nabla u + D \cdot \nabla v$. Let $(u,v) \in \mathcal{D}(L^m)$. By the elliptic regularity (e.g., Theorem 8.13 in [10]) in the Dirichlet problem for $\Delta u = f$, we have

$$\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le \kappa_1 \|(-L_1 - Q_1)(u,v)\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

and

$$\|v\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le \kappa_1 \|(-L_2 - Q_2)(u,v)\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

so that

$$\|(u,v)\|_{(H^2(\Omega))^2} \le \kappa_2 \|L(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2} + \kappa_2 \|(u,v)\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2}.$$

Hence by (4.33), we have

$$\|(u,v)\|_{(H^2(\Omega))^2} \le \kappa_3 \|L(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}. \tag{4.34}$$

Again the elliptic regularity yields

$$\|(u,v)\|_{(H^3(\Omega))^2} \le \kappa_1\|(\Delta u, \Delta v)\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2} + \kappa_1\|(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}$$

$$\le \kappa_1\|(-L-Q)(u,v)\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2} + \kappa_1\|(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}$$

$$\le \kappa_1\|L(u,v)\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2} + \kappa_1\|(u,v)\|_{(H^2(\Omega))^2}. \tag{4.35}$$

On the other hand, we have $L(u,v) \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and apply (4.33) to $L(u,v)$ to have

$$\|L(u,v)\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^2} \le \kappa_1\|L^2(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}.$$

Applying this and (4.34) to (4.35), we obtain

$$\|(u,v)\|_{(H^3(\Omega))^2} \le \kappa_4\|L^2(u,v)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}.$$

Repeating these arguments, we can complete the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Moreover by [30] and [31] for example, we see:

Lemma 4.4 *The operator $-L$ generates an analytic semigroup in $(L^2(\Omega))^2$.*

There are no general result on the approximate controllabilty for parabolic systems with controls of a restricted number of components and see e.g., [2] and [28] as related works. For controllability for systems, see [2] - [4], [11] - [13]. Next we will prove the approximate controllability with control $\chi_\omega h$ to only one component.

Lemma 4.5 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0) \in (L^2(\Omega))^2$, $(\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{V}_1) \in (L^2(\Omega))^2$, and any $t_0 \in (0, \delta)$, there exists $h_\varepsilon \in L^2(\omega_T)$ such that*

$$\|\tilde{U}(\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0, h)(\cdot, t_0) - \tilde{U}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\tilde{V}(\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0, h)(\cdot, t_0) - \tilde{V}_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Consider the following reaction-diffusion-convection system :

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + au + \tilde{b}v - \nabla \cdot (Au) - \nabla \cdot (Bv) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + \tilde{c}u + dv - \nabla \cdot (Cu) - \nabla \cdot (Dv) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$u = v = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T. \tag{4.36}$$

The approximate controllability is equivalent to the uniqueness: Let u, v satisfy (4.36). Then $u = 0$ in ω_T implies $u = v = 0$ in Ω_T (e.g., Zabczyk [33]). This uniqueness follows from Theorem 2.2 by replacing the coefficients in (2.3) suitably and verifying Assumption 1.1 (d).

Now we will complete

Proof of Lemma 4.2 The proof is be done in three steps. Henceforth for fixed $(\widetilde{U}_0, \widetilde{V}_0)$, by $(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})(h)$ we denote $(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})(\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0, h)$.

Existence of a control in $L^2(\omega_T)$

Let us arbitrarily fix $(\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{V}_1) \in (H_0^{2m+2}(\Omega))^2$ satisfying $|\tilde{U}_1|$, $|\tilde{V}_1| \neq 0$ on $\overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_1}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $T_1 \in (0, \theta)$, there exists $h_\varepsilon \in L^2(\omega_{T_1})$ such that

$$\|(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{V})(h_\varepsilon)(\cdot, T_1) - (\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{V}_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{4.37}$$

A more regular control

By the density of $C^\infty(\omega_{T_1})$ in $L^2(\omega_{T_1})$, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $h_{\varepsilon,\delta} \in C^\infty(\omega_{T_1})$ such that

$$\|h_\varepsilon - h_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{L^2(\omega_{T_1})} \leq \delta. \tag{4.38}$$

Therefore

$$\|(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_\varepsilon)(\cdot,T_1)-(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,T_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}=\left\|\int_0^{T_1}e^{-(T_1-s)L}\chi_\omega(h_\varepsilon-h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(s)ds\right\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\le\kappa_5\delta.$$

Use of the time regularizing effect

By (4.37) and (4.38), we obtain

$$\|(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,T_1)-(\widetilde{U}_1,\widetilde{V}_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\le\varepsilon+\kappa_5\delta. \tag{4.39}$$

Since $-L$ generates an analytic semigroup in $(L^2(\Omega))^2$, by e.g., [30], [31], we see that

$$e^{-(\theta-T_1)L}(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,T_1)\in D(L^m)$$

and

$$\|L^m[e^{-(\theta-T_1)L}(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,T_1)-e^{-(\theta-T_1)L}(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)]\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}$$

$$\le\kappa_6(\theta-T_1)^{-m}\|(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,T_1)-(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\le\kappa_6(\theta-T_1)^{-m}(\varepsilon+\kappa_5\delta).$$

Extending $h_{\varepsilon,\delta}(\cdot,t)=0$ for $t>T_1$, we have

$$e^{-(\theta-T_1)L}(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,T_1)=(\widetilde{U},\widetilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,\theta),$$

and so

$$\|L^m[(\tilde{U},\tilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,\theta)-e^{-(\theta-T_1)L}(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)]\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\le\kappa_6(\theta-T_1)^{-m}(\varepsilon+\kappa_5\delta). \tag{4.40}$$

Moreover as $(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)\in D(L^{m+1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|L^m[e^{-(\theta-T_1)L}(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)-(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)]\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\le\kappa_6\|(e^{-(\theta-T_1)L}-I)L^m(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\\
\le\;&\kappa_6\left\|\int_0^{\theta-T_1}\frac{d}{d\eta}(e^{-\eta L})L^m(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)d\eta\right\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\le\kappa_6\left\|\int_0^{\theta-T_1}e^{-\eta L}L^{m+1}(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)d\eta\right\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\\
\le\;&\kappa_6(\theta-T_1)\|L^{m+1}(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of (4.40), we obtain

$$\|L^m[(\tilde{U},\tilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,\theta)-(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)]\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}\le \kappa_6(\theta-T_1)^{-m}(\varepsilon+\kappa_5\delta)$$

$$+\kappa_6(\theta-T_1)\|L^{m+1}(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}. \tag{4.41}$$

For any $\varepsilon_1>0$ and $(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)\in D(L^{m+1})$, we choose $T_1\in(0,\theta)$ such that

$$\kappa_6(\theta-T_1)\|L^{m+1}(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^2}<\frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}.$$

Then, with this T_1, we choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$\kappa_6(\theta-T_1)^{-m}\varepsilon<\frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}.$$

Finally with this h_ε, we choose $\delta>0$ sufficiently small such that

$$\kappa_6\delta\le\frac{\varepsilon_1}{3}.$$

Therefore (4.41) yields

$$\|L^m[(\tilde{U},\tilde{V})(h_{\varepsilon,\delta})(\cdot,\theta)-(\tilde{U}_1,\tilde{V}_1)]\|_{L^2(\Omega)^2}\le\varepsilon_1. \tag{4.42}$$

In terms of Lemma 4.3 and (4.27), by choosing $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small for $\inf_{x\in\Omega\setminus\omega_1}|\tilde{U}_1(x)|$ and $\inf_{x\in\Omega\setminus\omega_1}|\tilde{V}_1(x)|$, the proof of Lemma 4.2 is completed.

Second Step We will complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $h\in L^2(\omega_T)$ be chosen in Lemma 4.2. We set

$$u=U-\widetilde{U},\quad v=V-\widetilde{V}.$$

Then (u,v) satisfies

$$\partial_t u=\Delta u+au+bv+A\cdot\nabla u+B\cdot\nabla v+f\tilde{V},$$

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + cu + dv + C \cdot \nabla u + D \cdot \nabla v + g\tilde{U} \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$u = v = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T, \tag{4.43}$$

where

$$f = b - \widetilde{b}, \quad g = c - \widetilde{c}.$$

We consider the time derivative of system (4.43). Setting $y = \partial_t u$ and $z = \partial_t v$, we obtain

$$\partial_t y = \Delta y + a(x)y + b(x)z + A \cdot \nabla y + B \cdot \nabla z + f\partial_t \widetilde{V} \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$\partial_t z = \Delta z + c(x)y + d(x)z + C \cdot \nabla y + D \cdot \nabla z + g\partial_t \widetilde{U} \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$y = z = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T. \tag{4.44}$$

Applying the Carleman estimate Theorem 2.2 to system (4.43) and using $f = 0$ in ω_1, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{-1} e^{-2s\eta_\omega} (|\partial_t y|^2 + |\partial_t z|^2 + |\Delta y|^2 + |\Delta z|^2$$

$$+(s\rho)^2 |\nabla y|^2 + (s\rho)^2 |\nabla z|^2 + (s\rho)^4 |y|^2 + (s\rho)^4 |z|^2) dxdt$$

$$\leq \kappa_7(s) \|y\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \kappa \iint_{\Omega_T} e^{-2s\eta_\omega} (|f\partial_t \widetilde{V}|^2 + |g\partial_t \widetilde{U}|^2) dxdt. \tag{4.45}$$

Furthermore, for large $s > 0$, we can prove that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} |f(x)|^2 e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,t)} dxdt \leq o(1) \int_\Omega |f(x)|^2 e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)} dx \quad \text{as } s \to \infty. \tag{4.46}$$

In fact, we can prove similarly to [15]. Recall that $T = 2\theta$. Setting $\ell(t) = t(T-t)$, by (2.4) we have $\frac{\partial(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t}(x,\theta) = 0$, $x \in \Omega$ and

$$\frac{\partial^2(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t^2}(x,t) = -\alpha_\omega(x) \frac{2\ell'(t)^2 - \ell(t)\ell''(t)}{\ell(t)^3}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^3(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t^3}(x,t) = -\alpha_\omega(x) \frac{6\ell'(t)(\ell(t)\ell''(t) - \ell'(t)^2)}{\ell(t)^4}, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega_T.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial^2(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t^2}(x,t) \le -\frac{\kappa_8}{\ell(t)^3}, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega_T$$

with a positive constant κ_8 and

$$\frac{\partial^3(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t^3}(x,t) \ge 0, \quad 0 \le t \le \theta,\, x \in \Omega,$$

$$\frac{\partial^3(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t^3}(x,t) \le 0, \quad \theta \le t \le T,\, x \in \Omega.$$

Consequently by the mean value theorem, we can take t_1 such that t_1 is between t and θ and

$$-\eta_\omega(x,t) = -\eta_\omega(x,\theta) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t^2}(x,t)(t-\theta)^2 + \frac{1}{6}\frac{\partial^3(-\eta_\omega)}{\partial t^3}(x,t_1)(t-\theta)^3$$

$$\le -\eta_\omega(x,\theta) - \frac{\kappa_8}{2t^3(T-t)^3}(t-\theta)^2, \quad (x,t) \in \Omega_T.$$

Hence, noting that $\kappa_8 > 0$ and $-\frac{1}{t(T-t)} \le -\frac{4}{T^2}$, we obtain

$$\int_0^T e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,t)}dt \le e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)} \int_0^T \exp\left(-\frac{s\kappa_8}{t^3(T-t)^3}(t-\theta)^2\right) dt$$

$$\le e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)} \int_0^T \exp\left(-\frac{s\kappa_9}{T^2}(t-\theta)^2\right) dt.$$

The Lebesgue theorem yields .

We have

$$\int_\Omega (|y(x,\theta)|^2 + |z(x,\theta)|^2)e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)}dx = \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_0^\theta (|y(x,t)|^2 + |z(x,t)|^2)e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,t)}dtdx$$

$$= \int_\Omega \int_0^\theta \{2s\alpha_\omega(x)\rho(t)^2(T-2t)(|y(x,t)|^2 + |z(x,t)|^2) + 2(y\partial_t y + z\partial_t z)\}e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,t)}dtdx$$

$$\le \kappa_{10}\int_{\Omega_T} \{(s\rho)^3(|y(x,t)|^2 + |z(x,t)|^2) + (s\rho)^{-1}(|\partial_t y(x,t)|^2 + |\partial_t z(x,t)|^2)\}e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,t)}dtdx.$$

At the last inequality, we used

$$|y\partial_t y| = |(s\rho)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t y (s\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}} y|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(s\rho)^{-1}|\partial_t y|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(s\rho)|y|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(s\rho)^{-1}|\partial_t y|^2 + \kappa'_{10}(s\rho)^3|y|^2.$$

Hence, by (4.45) and (4.46), noting that $f = g = 0$ in ω_1, we have

$$\int_\Omega (|y(x,\theta)|^2 + |z(x,\theta)|^2)e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)}dx \leq \kappa_7(s)\|y\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + o(1)\int_{\Omega\setminus\omega_1}(|f(x)|^2 + |g(x)|^2)e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)}dx \tag{4.47}$$

for all large $s > 0$.

On the other hand, since $u(\cdot,\theta) = v(\cdot,\theta) = 0$, we have $y(x,\theta) = f(x)\tilde{V}(x,\theta)$ and $z(x,\theta) = g(x)\tilde{U}(x,\theta)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Therefore, by (4.32) and (4.47) we obtain

$$\kappa_{11}\int_\Omega (|f(x)|^2 + |g(x)|^2)e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)}dx \leq \kappa_7(s)\|y\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + o(1)\int_{\Omega\setminus\omega_1}(|f(x)|^2 + |g(x)|^2)e^{-2s\eta_\omega(x,\theta)}dx$$

as $s \longrightarrow \infty$. Taking $s > 0$ large and fixing, we absorb the second term on the right hand side into the left hand side and the proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed.

5 Some generalization and comments

5.1 Identification of all the coefficients

Indeed we can determine all the coefficients of (1.1). For it, we need repeats of measurements by choosing suitable interior controls. We choose $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$m > \frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

We recall that $(\tilde{U},\tilde{V}) = (\tilde{U}(h)(\cdot,\cdot),\tilde{V}(h)(\cdot,\cdot))$ satisfies (4.29) and that $(U,V) = (U(h)(\cdot,\cdot),V(h)(\cdot,\cdot))$ satisfies (4.29) where $\tilde{a},\tilde{b},\tilde{c},\tilde{d},\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{C},\tilde{D}$ are replaced by a,b,c,d,A,B,C,D respectively. Then, with $m > \frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$, under assumption (4.28) we can prove (4.42). Moreover, noting that $H^{2m}(\Omega) \subset$

$C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ by $m > \frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$, we can see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{V}_1) \in (H_0^{2m+2}(\Omega))^2$, there exists $h \in L^2(\omega_T)$ such that

$$\|(\tilde{U}(h), \tilde{V}(h))(\cdot, \theta) - (\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{V}_1)\|_{(C^1(\overline{\Omega}))^2} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{5.48}$$

Therefore

Theorem 5.1 *Let ω, $a, \widetilde{a}, b, \widetilde{b}, c, \widetilde{c}, d, \widetilde{d}, A, \widetilde{A}, B, \widetilde{B}, C, \widetilde{C}, D, \widetilde{D}$ satisfy Assumption 1.1 and $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{C}, \widetilde{D} \in W^{2m,\infty}(\Omega)$. Let ω_1 be a neighbourhood of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\overline{\omega} \subset \omega_1$ and let the coefficients (a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D) and $(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}, \widetilde{d}, \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{C}, \widetilde{D})$ coincide in ω_1. Then there exist $h_1, h_2, ..., h_{2n+2} \in L^2(\omega_T)$ such that*

$$det \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{U}(h_1) & \widetilde{V}(h_1) & 0 & 0 & \nabla\widetilde{U}(h_1) & \nabla\widetilde{V}(h_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{U}(h_1) & \widetilde{V}(h_1) & 0 & 0 & \nabla\widetilde{U}(h_1) & \nabla\widetilde{V}(h_1) \\ \widetilde{U}(h_2) & \widetilde{V}(h_2) & 0 & 0 & \nabla\widetilde{U}(h_2) & \nabla\widetilde{V}(h_2) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{U}(h_2) & \widetilde{V}(h_2) & 0 & 0 & \nabla\widetilde{U}(h_2) & \nabla\widetilde{V}(h_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \widetilde{U}(h_{2n+2}) & \widetilde{V}(h_{2n+2}) & 0 & 0 & \nabla\widetilde{U}(h_{2n+2}) & \nabla\widetilde{V}(h_{2n+2}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{U}(h_{2n+2}) & \widetilde{V}(h_{2n+2}) & 0 & 0 & \nabla\widetilde{U}(h_{2n+2}) & \nabla\widetilde{V}(h_{2n+2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\neq 0 \qquad x \in \overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_1}, \quad t = \theta \tag{5.49}$$

and we choose a constant $\kappa > 0$ depending on $M, m, \gamma, s, \Omega, \omega, T$ and $h_1, ..., h_{2n+2}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a - \widetilde{a}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|b - \widetilde{b}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|c - \widetilde{c}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|d - \widetilde{d}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ + \quad & \|A - \widetilde{A}\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} + \|B - \widetilde{B}\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} + \|C - \widetilde{C}\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} + \|D - \widetilde{D}\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^n} \\ \leq \quad & \kappa \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{2n+2} (\|\partial_t(U(h_j) - \tilde{U}(h_j))\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|U(h_j) - \tilde{U}(h_j)\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all (a, b, c, d, A, B, C, D) satisfying Assumption 1.1.

Example for Theorem 5.1:

Let $n = 1$ and let p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, q_3 be constants such that $p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1 \neq 0$ and $p_3(x_1)$, $q_4(x_1)$ satisfy $(\partial_1 p_3)(x_1) \neq 0$ and $\partial_1 q_4(x_1) \neq 0$ for $x_1 \in \overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_1}$, and let q_3 be an arbitrarily smooth function. Then for $x = x_1 \in \overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_1}$, we can verify that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & q_1 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 p_1 & \partial_1 q_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_1 & q_1 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 p_1 & \partial_1 q_1 \\ p_2 & q_2 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 p_2 & \partial_1 q_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_2 & q_2 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 p_2 & \partial_1 q_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_4 & q_4 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 p_4 & \partial_1 q_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_4 & q_4 & 0 & 0 & \partial_1 p_4 & \partial_1 q_4 \end{pmatrix} (x) = |\partial_1 p_3(x_1)|^2 |\partial_1 q_4(x_1)|^2 (p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1)^2 \neq 0.$$

Therefore in (5.48), we can choose $(\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{V}_1) = (p_j, q_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$ to construct h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 satisfying (5.49).

5.2 Carleman estimate for a 3×3 reaction-diffusion system with one observation

We consider now a 3×3 reaction-diffusion system

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \Delta u(x,t) + a_{11}(x,t)u(x,t) + a_{12}(x,t)v(x,t) + a_{13}(x,t)w(x,t) + f(x,t) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$\partial_t v(x,t) = \Delta v(x,t) + a_{21}(x,t)u(x,t) + a_{22}(x,t)v(x,t) + a_{23}(x,t)w(x,t) + g(x,t) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$\partial_t w(x,t) = \Delta w(x,t) + a_{31}(x,t)u(x,t) + a_{32}(x,t)v(x,t) + a_{33}(x,t)w(x,t) + h(x,t) \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$u = v = w = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T. \tag{5.50}$$

We will assume

Assumption 5.2

(a) $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1,3} \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega_T)$, $\|a_{ij}\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega_T)} \le M$.

(b) ω *of class* C^2, $\partial\omega \cap \partial\Omega = \gamma$ *and* $|\gamma| \neq 0$.

(c) $\left|(\nabla a_{12} - \frac{a_{12}}{a_{13}}\nabla a_{13}) \cdot \nu\right| \neq 0$ *on* $\gamma \times (0,T)$.

(d) $a_{12}, a_{13} \in W^{3,\infty}(\omega_T)$, $\|a_{12}\|_{W^{3,\infty}(\omega_T)}, \|a_{13}\|_{W^{3,\infty}(\omega_T)} \le M$.

(e) $a_{13} \neq 0$ *on* $\overline{\Omega_T}$.

We show a Carleman estimate with extra data of one component.

Theorem 5.3 *Under Assumption 5.2, there exist* $\alpha_\omega \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ *with* $\alpha_\omega > 0$ *on* $\overline{\Omega}$ *and a constant* $s_0 > 0$ *which depends on* $T, M, \Omega, \omega, \tau$ *and the* $L^\infty(\Omega)$*-norms of* a_{ij}, $1 \le i, j \le 3$ *such that we can choose positive constants* $\kappa_1(s)$ *and* κ *satisfying:*

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{-1} e^{-2s\eta_\omega}(|\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\partial_t w|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta v|^2 + |\Delta w|^2$$

$$+(s\rho)^2|\nabla u|^2 + (s\rho)^2|\nabla v|^2 + (s\rho)^2|\nabla w|^2 + (s\rho)^4 u^2 + (s\rho)^4 v^2 + (s\rho)^4 w^2) dxdt$$

$$\le \kappa_1(s)(\|u\|^2_{W_2^{4,2}(\omega_T)} + \|f\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega_T)} + \|g\|^2_{L^2(\omega_T)} + \|h\|^2_{L^2(\omega_T)})$$

$$+\kappa \int_{\Omega_T} (|f^2| + |g|^2 + |h|^2) e^{-2s\eta_\omega} dxdt$$

for all $s \ge s_0$ *and* (u, v, w) *satisfying (5.50). Here we set*

$$\eta_\omega(x,t) = \frac{\alpha_\omega(x)}{t(T-t)}.$$

Proof Setting $z = a_{12}v + a_{13}w$, we rewrite (5.50) as

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + a_{11}u + z + f \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$\partial_t z = \Delta z + A \cdot \nabla z + az + eu + B \cdot \nabla v + bv + G \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + a_{21}u + dv + cz + g \quad \text{in } \Omega_T,$$

$$u = v = z = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T, \tag{5.51}$$

where

$$A = -2\frac{\nabla a_{13}}{a_{13}},\ B = -2\nabla a_{12} + 2\frac{a_{12}}{a_{13}}\nabla a_{13},$$

$$a = 2\frac{|\nabla a_{13}|^2}{a_{13}^2} + a_{33} + \frac{a_{12}a_{23} + \partial_t a_{13} - \Delta a_{13}}{a_{13}},$$

$$b = a_{12}a_{22} + a_{13}a_{32} + \partial_t a_{12} - \Delta a_{12} + 2\nabla a_{13}\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{a_{12}}{a_{13}}\right)$$

$$-\frac{a_{12}}{a_{13}}(a_{12}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{33} + \partial_t a_{13} - \Delta a_{13}),$$

$$c = \frac{a_{23}}{a_{13}}, \quad d = a_{22} - \frac{a_{12}a_{23}}{a_{13}}, \quad e = a_{21}a_{12} + a_{31}a_{13}$$

and

$$G = a_{12}g + a_{13}h.$$

By [9], [14] and the proof of Theorem 2.2, we see that there exist a subdomain $\omega' \subset \omega$ and $\beta_{\omega'} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\beta_{\omega'} > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{-1} e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_t z|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta z|^2$$

$$+(s\rho)^2|\nabla u|^2 + (s\rho)^2|\nabla z|^2 + (s\rho)^4 u^2 + (s\rho)^4 z^2)dxdt$$

$$\leq \kappa_2 \int_{\Omega_T} (z^2 + |eu|^2)e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}}dxdt + \kappa_2 \int_{\Omega_T} (f^2 + G^2)e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}}dxdt$$

$$+\kappa_2 \int_{\Omega_T} |B\cdot\nabla b + bv|^2 e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}}dxdt + \kappa_2 \int_{\omega'_T} (s\rho)^{-1}(u^2 + z^2)e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}}dxdt \tag{5.52}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{-1} e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|\partial_t z|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\Delta z|^2 + |\Delta v|^2$$

$$+(s\rho)^2|\nabla z|^2 + (s\rho)^2|\nabla v|^2 + (s\rho)^4 z^2 + (s\rho)^4 v^2)dxdt$$

$$\leq \kappa_3(s)(\|z\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega'_T)} + \|eu+G\|^2_{L^2(\omega'_T)}) + \kappa_2 \int_{\Omega_T} (|eu+G|^2 + |a_{21}u+g|^2) e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} dxdt \tag{5.53}$$

for all $s \geq s_0$, where we set $\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}(x,t) = \frac{\beta_{\omega'}(x)}{t(T-t)}$. Here (5.52) is obtained by applying the Carleman estimate in [9] or [14] to the first and the second equations in (5.51), while (5.53) is seen by applying Theorem 2.2 to the second and the third equations in (5.51) and noting Assumption 5.2 (c). We further notice that the weight function $\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}$ can be taken the same, which can be seen from the proof of Theorem 2.2. By (5.52) and (5.53), in terms of Assumption 5.2 (a), (d) and (e), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_T} (s\rho)^{-1} e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} (|\partial_t u|^2 + |\partial_t z|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta z|^2 + |\Delta v|^2 \\
&+ (s\rho)^2 |\nabla u|^2 + (s\rho)^2 |\nabla z|^2 + (s\rho)^2 |\nabla v|^2 + (s\rho)^4 u^2 + (s\rho)^4 z^2 + (s\rho)^4 v^2) dxdt \\
&\leq \kappa_2 \int_{\Omega_T} (z^2 + u^2 + v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} dxdt + \kappa_2 \int_{\Omega_T} (f^2 + g^2 + h^2) e^{-2s\tilde{\eta}_{\omega'}} dxdt \\
&+ \kappa_3(s)(\|z\|^2_{W_2^{2,1}(\omega'_T)} + \|u\|^2_{L^2(\omega'_T)} + \|g\|^2_{L^2(\omega'_T)} + \|h\|^2_{L^2(\omega'_T)})
\end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

for all large $s > 0$. We can absorb the first terms on the right hand side into the left hand side by choosing $s > 0$ large, and we use $z = \partial_t u - \Delta u - a_{11}u - f$ by the first equation in (5.51), so that the proof of Theorem 5.3 is completed.

The approximate controllability is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.3. That is, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t u = \Delta u + a_{11}(x)u + a_{21}(x)v + a_{31}(x)w + \chi_\omega f \quad \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
&\partial_t v = \Delta v + a_{12}(x)u + a_{22}(x)v + a_{32}(x)w \quad \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
&\partial_t w = \Delta w + a_{13}(x)u + a_{23}(x)v + a_{33}(x)w \quad \text{in } \Omega_T, \\
&u = v = w = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\
&u(\cdot,0) = u_0, \quad v(\cdot,0) = v_0, \quad w(\cdot,0) = w_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

Here we assume that all the coefficients are independent of t.

Then

Theorem 5.4 *Under Assumption 5.2, for all* $\varepsilon > 0$, $T > 0$, $(u_0, v_0, w_0) \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$ *and* $(u_1, v_1, w_1) \in (L^2(\Omega))^3$, *there exists* $f \in L^2(\omega_T)$ *such that the corresponding solution of (5.55) satisfies*

$$\|(u, v, w)(\cdot, T) - (u_1, v_1, w_1)\|_{(L^2(\Omega))^3} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Similarly to section 4, we can apply the Carleman estimate of Theorem 5.3 for determining the nine coefficients a_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ by suitably repeated observations of only one component u and we will here omit further details.

Acknowledgements. Most part of this paper was written during the stays of the fourth named author in 2007 at Université de Provence and he thanks the invitation.

The fourth author was partially supported by l'Agence Nationale de la Recherche under grant ANR JC07_183284.

References

[1] R. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces* Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[2] F. Ammar-Khodja, A. Benabdallah and C. Dupaix, *Null controllability of some reaction-diffusion systems with one control force,* J.Math.Anal.Appl. 320, 928-943, (2006).

[3] F. Ammar-Khodja, A. Benabdallah , C. Dupaix and I. Kostine, *Controllability to the trajectories of phase-field models by one control force*, SIAM J. Control. 42, 1661-1680, (2003).

[4] F. Ammar-Khodja, A. Benabdallah , C. Dupaix and I. Kostine, *Null controllability of some systems of parabolic type by one control force,* E.S.A.I.M.: Control, Optimization and Calculus of Variations, 11, 426-448, (2005).

[5] A. Benabdallah, Y. Dermenjian and J. Le Rousseau, *Carleman estimates for the one-dimensional heat equation with a discontinuous coefficient and applications to controllability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 336, 865-887, (2007).

[6] A. Benabdallah, P. Gaitan and J. Le Rousseau, *Stability of discontinuous diffusion coefficients and initial conditions in an inverse problem for the heat equation* SIAM J. Control Optim., 46, 1849-1881, (2007).

[7] A.L. Bukhgeim and M.V. Klibanov, *Uniqueness in the large of a class of multidimensional inverse problems*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 17, 244-247, (1981).

[8] M. Cristofol, P. Gaitan and H. Ramoul, *Inverse problems for a 2X2 reaction-diffusion system using a Carleman estimate with one observation*, Inverse Problems, 22, 1561-1573, (2006).

[9] A. Fursikov and O. Imanuvilov, *Controllability of Evolution Equations*, Lecture Notes Series 34, Seoul National University, Korea, 1996.

[10] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[11] M. González-Burgos and R. Pérez-García, *Controllability of some coupled parabolic systems by one control force*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340, no. 2, 125–130, (2005).

[12] M. González-Burgos and R. Pérez-García, *Controllability results for some nonlinear coupled parabolic systems by one control force.* Asymptot. Anal. 46, 123–162, (2006).

[13] M. González-Burgos and L. de Teresa, *Controllability results for cascade systems of m coupled parabolic PDEs by one control force*, preprint.

[14] O. Yu. Immanuvilov, *Controllability of parabolic equations*, Sbornik Math., 186, 879–900, (1995).

[15] O. Yu. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Lipschitz stability in inverse parabolic problems by the Carleman estimate*, Inverse Problems, 14, 1229–1245, (1998).

[16] O. Yu. Immanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Global Lipschitz stability in an inverse hyperbolic problem by interior observations*, Inverse Problems 17, 717–728 (2001).

[17] O. Yu. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Carleman inequalities for parabolic equations in Sobolev spaces of negative order and exact controllability for semilinear parabolic equations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 39, 227–274, (2003).

[18] O. Yu. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Carleman estimates for the non-stationary Lamé system and the application to an inverse problem*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 11, 1–56 (electronic), (2005).

[19] O. Yu. Imanuvilov, J.-P. Puel and M. Yamamoto, *Carleman estimates for parabolic equations with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions*, preprint.

[20] V. Isakov, *A nonhyperbolic Cauchy problem for $\Box_b \Box_c$ and its applications to elasticity theory*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., 39, 747–767, 1986.

[21] V. Isakov, *Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[22] M. Kazemi and M.V. Klibanov , *Stability estimates for ill-posed Cauchy problem involving hyperbolic equations and inequalities*, Applicable Analysis, 50, 93-102, (1993).

[23] M.V. Klibanov, *Inverse problems and Carleman estimates* Inverse Problems, 8, 575-596, (1992).

[24] M.V. Klibanov, *Global uniqueness of a multidimensionnal inverse problem for a non linear parabolic equation by a Carleman estimate*, Inverse Problems, 20, 1003-1032, (2004).

[25] M.V. Klibanov and J. Malinsky, *Newton-Kantorovich method for 3-dimensional potential inverse scattering problem and stability of the hyperbolic Cauchy problem with time dependent data*, Inverse Problems, 7, 577-595, (1991).

[26] M.V. Klibanov and A.A. Timonov, *Carleman Estimates for Coefficient Inverse Problems and Numerical Applications*, VSP, Utrecht, 2004.

[27] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, AMS, Providence, RI, 1968.

[28] H. Leiva, *Controllability of a system of parabolic equations with non-diagonal diffusion matrix.* IMA J. Math. Control and Information 22, 187-199, (2005).

[29] J.L. Lions, *Contrôlabilité Exacte Perturbations et Stabilisation de Systèmes Distribués*, vol.1, Masson, Paris, 1988.

[30] A.Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[31] H. Tanabe, *Equations of Evolution*, Pitman, London, 1979.

[32] G. Yuan and M. Yamamoto, *Lipshitz stability in the determination of the principal parts of a parabolic equation by boundary measurements*, to appear in ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.

[33] J. Zabczyk , *Mathematical Control Theory: An Introduction*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.